International Journal of Business and Management Innovations
Volume1, Issue 3 2025

Analyzing Sustainable Innovation's Drivers

Leonor Gallardo
Department of Business Administration, Asia University, Taichung, Taiwan,R. O. C.
Darlington,
Department of Business Administration, Minghsin University of Science and Technology, Taiwan,

Research Article

Date of Submission: 1-06-2025 **Date of Acceptance: 25-07-2025** **Date of Publication: 11-08-2025**

ABSTRACT

Corporations are driven to sustainable innovation by a number of factors, such as: (a) external stimuli like social activism or government regulation; (b) business opportunities from technological advancements or consumer demand for eco-friendly products; and (c) a shift in the company's mission and orientation toward corporate social responsibility. Each of these forces behind sustainable innovation is predicated on a different understanding of the connection between environmental preservation and economic expansion. The perspectives and motivations of sustainable innovation are examined in this work, which also suggests a future research program that looks at the relative significance and interactions of these factors.

KEYWORDS:

Corporate Social Responsibility, Sustainable Development, and Sustainable Innovation

1. INTRODUCTION

The goal of sustainable economic development is to: (a) create human institutions that ensure security and opportunities for social, intellectual, and spiritual development; and (b) create sustainable economies that fairly meet human needs without extracting resource inputs or expelling waste in excess of the environment's regenerative capacity (IMF, 2005). Innovation, according to Rogers (1995, p. 132), is "an idea, practice, or object that is perceived as new to an individual or another unit of adoption." Luecke and Katz (2003) describe innovation as "the embodiment, combination, or synthesis of knowledge in original, relevant, valued new products, processes, or services" with reference to business procedures and economic progress. The creation of new goods, procedures, services, and technologies that support the growth and welfare of human needs and institutions while honoring the planet's natural resources and potential for regeneration is a reasonable definition of sustainable innovation. The trade-off view of economic growth and the environment, the synergy view of economic growth and the environment, and the social responsibility view of corporate social responsibility are the three main drivers of sustainable innovation that are examined in this paper along with their theoretical underpinnings and effects.

2. VIEWS ON ECONOMIC GROWTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT

The gloomy Trade-Off View of economic development and the environment focuses on the scenario in which increases in production and consumption lead to environmental issues as a result of economic expansion (Hirschhorn, 2001). Natural resources are exploited more carelessly as economies and

people expand, which leads to resource shortages and other types of pollution. The trade-off perspective on the link between growth and the environment contends that the short-term and long-term costs are borne by other stakeholders, including consumers, communities, and governments, rather than the polluters.

The Synergy View is predicated on the idea that a society cannot raise resources for social and environmental good without economic growth. In the process of industrialization, it suggests a mutually beneficial or synergistic link between economic expansion and the environment. Economic expansion provides a safety net to cover people's fundamental requirements while also increasing the stock of economic, ecological, and sociocultural assets. According to Lifset (2002), the environmental Kuznet curve examines the statistical relationship between environmental indicators and national income levels as being positively correlated up to a certain income level, after which further increases in income level cause pollution levels to decline.

The Social Responsibility View contends that corporate activities in a society may be focused on sustainable ideas and models, even while there is no inherent link between economic progress and the environment. This perspective highlights the significance of corporate culture and its shift from growth to sustainable innovation, such as the new focus on corporate social responsibility. According to Hopwood et al. (2005), a shift in attitudes and behavior around environmental conservation has the potential to transform society as a whole. How to change the pessimistic view's trade-off scenario into the optimistic view's synergistic situation is a crucial question in this normative approach. According to Korfiatis et al. (2004), the results of such an endeavor toward sustainability differ from nation to nation based on the kind of reform, market structure experience, geographic location, political views, and information flows. Instead of being a duty, corporate citizenship may be a business strategy for efficiency and an opportunity for development (Grayson, 2005).

3. DRIVERS OF SUSTAINABLE INNOVATION

Six potential drivers of sustainable innovation are examined in our review of the conceptual underpinnings of each of these alternative perspectives on the relationship between economic growth and the environment (i.e., government regulation, social activism, customer demand, technology advancement, social responsibility initiatives, and supply chain partnerships).

3.1 Trade-off between Growth and the Environment

The idea that economic expansion is a necessary but inadequate prerequisite for enhancing the environment as a whole for the benefit of humanity is the foundation of the trade-off perspective of the connection between growth and the environment. Environmentalism is concerned with the protection, repair, or enhancement of the natural environment, while economic development represents the rise in the value of the commodities and services generated by an economy. This perspective holds that as the economy develops, rising production and consumption both raise the demand for natural resources and increase pollution byproducts (Suzuki, 2002).

A nation's environmental issues and its economic development pattern are closely related. China's GDP has quadrupled every seven years over the last 20 years, yet the country is still facing environmental challenges. Similar issues are being faced by India as a result of its fast economic growth. The World Bank reports that sulfur dioxide and nitrous dioxide levels exceeded WHO standards in over 80 Chinese towns. According to projections, urban pollution would cause over a million premature deaths in China and India between 2001 and 2020 (China Daily, 2005).

The increasing environmental issues that all countries are facing are a result of globalization's attempts to take advantage of emerging countries' cost advantages and new consumer markets. Companies shift the environmental costs of business from one group of stakeholders to another when they relocate production facilities from developed countries with well-established environmental regulations and high consumer awareness to developing countries with laxer or nonexistent environmental and workplace regulations. Although this benefits the business's bottom line, pollution and resource depletion may eventually impede long-term economic progress in both the host and client nations. Government involvement and civic engagement do appear as two drivers of sustainable innovation, despite the Trade-Off View's seeming contradiction between economic development and the environment.

According to the trade-off perspective on the link between growth and the environment, the environment will continue to degrade until it is no longer able to provide a standard of living for all inhabitants in the absence of outside action. According to this thesis, the unfettered market tends to progress toward monopolistic control over resource allocation, externalizes a large amount of actual production costs, and ignores basic demands for public goods in the absence of attentive civil society intervention (Daly, 2004). The government must provide a social framework to ensure that all costs are internalized, competition is preserved, rewards are distributed fairly, and essential public goods are supplied in order to accomplish social justice and environmental sustainability (Korten, 1996).

Governments have used incentives and laws to strike a balance between preserving the environment and boosting economic competitiveness (Simpson, Taylor, and Barker, 2004). Certain economic sectors now have more chances thanks to new laws and governmental expenditure aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Tax credits and grants are examples of government incentives that assist offset costs that may otherwise discourage investment in green goods, renewable energy, and abatement technologies. In order to provide businesses the right incentives to prevent environmental harm while maintaining their competitiveness in the market, government regulations may promote environmental R&D, technical innovation, and dissemination (Carraro and Galeotti, 1997).

Government and business responses to the trade-off between growth and the environment are greatly influenced by social activity. Social action is often successful in pressuring businesses to invest more funds in environmental preservation. Social action has an impact on governments, leading to the creation of new rules and financial priorities. Global climate change, water pollution, coastal deterioration, and greenhouse gas emissions are just a few of the global concerns that social action has worked to address and raise awareness of.

3.2 Synergy between Growth and the Environment

The Synergy View contends that the additional capital investment needed for environmentally friendly industry can encourage the development of new industries that foster new economic growth, in contrast to the claim that environmental regulations and protection may slow or inhibit developing economic growth. Demand for new goods, services, and technology is stimulated by environmental regulations and legislation that mandate businesses to purchase cleaner technologies or pollution-abatement equipment (Schofer and Granados, 2006). According to a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency research, when the restrictions' positive and negative effects were taken into consideration,

air quality measures actually boosted GDP (Whitehead et al., 2005). According to a 1994 analysis by the Institute for Southern Studies, nine of the twelve states with the strongest environmental protection also had the strongest economic growth, and twelve of the fourteen states with the weakest environmental protection also had some of the lowest economic growth (Graham, 1998). This suggests that nations or states with aggressive environmental protection policies had higher economic growth and more job creation.
Transferring the benefits of economic expansion to resource conservation and environmental challenges is necessary to create synergy between growth and the environment. Desjardin (2006) talks about the idea of eco-efficiency, a sustainable development philosophy that relates to improving how effectively enterprises utilize natural resources, hence lowering waste, pollution, and misuse. Due to financial limitations and a lack of environmental regulations, emerging nations' dependence on sulfur-based fossil fuels as they industrialize leads to severe urban air pollution and wasteful resource consumption.

R&D for creating sustainable technology is often neglected in lower GDP nations in favor of other concerns (such as insufficient food, housing, and water supplies) (Stern, 2004). However, as per capita income increases, more resources are available to buy cleaner fuels, opening up business opportunities for up-and-coming tech firms like Rentech Inc., which is currently employing technology to transform the dirtier, sulfur-based fuels into cleaner, more ecologically friendly diesel and jet fuels.

According to the Synergy perspective of economic development and environmental protection, there are two main factors that encourage corporate sustainable innovation: (1) consumer expectations for sustainable goods and (2) advancements in sustainable technology and the expansion of the environmental sector. The way businesses generate goods and services is undoubtedly changing as a result of consumer attitudes and demand for sustainable goods and services. DesJardins (1998) contends that unchecked consumer demand, one facet of economic expansion, is a major contributor to ecological and environmental degradation. However, consumer demand may force companies to take more responsibility for the effects of their business activities as customers become more conscious of environmental concerns and the significance of resource conservation and environmental preservation. As more people are ready to pay more for environmentally friendly items, corporate dedication to sustainable innovation becomes lucrative. Growing consumer demand and awareness encourage businesses to engage in environmental R&D and technical innovation, which brings us to the second driver of sustainable innovation: advancements in environmental technology.
It has long been acknowledged that technology is the primary driver of environmental and economic development synergy (Baucus, 1994). According to Costanza et al. (2000), technological innovation promotes the more effective use of natural resources, the capacity to reduce or eradicate different forms of pollution, and the creation of new investment and development prospects. In order to address some of the most urgent global environmental problems of this century, such as global warming, the depletion of natural resources, and the increase in energy prices, clean technology development is essential. Since many financial stakeholders respond favorably to clean or green technology and corporate green efforts, businesses that invest in environmental technologies also enhance their reputation with investors. Environmental technology received 9.1% of all venture capital investments in North America during the fourth quarter of 2005, placing it fifth in terms of total investment dollars—ahead of the semiconductor sector (Henderson, 2006).

3.3 Social Responsibility for the Environment

Stakeholder theory, which holds that businesses are accountable to a variety of stakeholders

impacted by their policies, actions, and economic operations, is the foundation of a developing perspective on corporate social responsibility (CSR). Primary and secondary stakeholders, such as the local community, rivals, the environment, and the media, are among these constituents (DeGeorge, 1986; DesJardins, 1998; Weiss, 2006). This is a major shift from the traditional understanding of corporate responsibility, which holds that a company's only duty is to make money for its shareholders (Friedman, 1962). The wider perspective of corporate social responsibility opposes this limited understanding of corporate responsibility for profit maximization by seeing the contemporary company as a social organization that must take into account the interests of all the people it affects (Shaw, 2007). According to this later interpretation of corporate social responsibility, businesses must adopt sustainable business practices. A socially conscious business must take into account and address issues like pollution, natural resource depletion, working conditions, and their effects on local communities if the needs of stakeholders, both upstream and downstream of business activities, are appropriately taken into account (Buchholz, 1998; Amalric and Hauser, 2005).

There is growing evidence that companies that adopt CSR based on the stakeholder model may benefit both the environment and their financial line (Korten, 1996; http://www.dieoff.org). As they take into account how their activities impact secondary stakeholders and the environment they live in, many organizations adopt a longer-term, sustainable business perspective. Hewlett Packard's 2005 Global Citizenship Report states that in 2004, the business got over $6 billion in quotations requesting information about their social and environmental responsibilities, a 95% increase over 2003 (Makower, 2006). Consumers are starting to value companies that demonstrate a commitment to corporate social responsibility. In 2006, socially aware companies received almost 10% ($2.3 trillion) of all US investment management assets (Demos, 2006). Additionally, investors get a deeper comprehension of how a business creates wealth by considering not just the goods and services it offers but also its advantages or disadvantages for the environment and society (DesJardins, 1998).

Corporate efforts for sustainable innovation and the cooperative operation of sustainable supply chain relationships are two important factors driving corporate adoption of CSR.
A company's commitment to good corporate citizenship may be publicly shown via corporate initiatives for sustainable innovation in a way that draws attention and boosts profits. Customers, staff, neighbors, shareholders, and investors are among the stakeholders for whom this demonstration is intended. The goal is to increase the commitment and loyalty of stakeholders to the company, whether they are customers who might continue or increase their purchases from the business, investors who might increase their investments and support for the board, or employees who become more aware of and dedicated to the company's sustainability initiatives.

As companies grow socially aware production and marketing operations, they need to consider the actions of their suppliers and distributors. A commitment to sustainable innovation must ensure that their green goals are not jeopardized by the actions of their supply chain partners. Participation from supply chain partners is essential to the successful implementation of a company's sustainable marketing program since their manufacturing, processing, and transportation activities may also be a source of waste, pollution, and resource depletion. Supply chain partnerships help companies collaborate as they combine the needs of economic growth with social and environmental performance, according to Jaber, Friend, and Olsen (2006).

4. A Strategic Framework

The perspectives, motivators, business reactions, and approaches to sustainable innovation covered in the previous section are compiled in Table 1. Government action and social activism are two external stimulation drivers of sustainable innovation that are supported by the tradeoff approach. The reaction to consumer demand for environmentally friendly products and the development of environmental technology are two business opportunity-minded drivers that are examined under the synergy perspective. The start of extensive CSR initiatives and collaboration with supply chain partners are two corporate strategy drivers that are supported by the social responsibility perspective.

Depending on how they see the link between growth and the environment as well as the mix of drivers they face, companies may react to these factors in various ways. Different degrees of dedication, resources, and comprehension of the issue at hand are reflected in each company's reaction, which ranges from compliance to leadership. It is obvious that businesses have a number of options when faced with sustainable innovation drivers. Should the business be proactive, taking into account how their reaction may be leveraged to gain a strategic advantage, or should they just respond to the circumstance by allocating the bare minimum of resources necessary to handle the drivers? We have made an effort to provide a framework for analyzing the link between a business's perspectives on environmental responsibility and economic development, the factors that compel the firm to respond, and the interactions between the organization, the drivers, and stakeholder groups. To evaluate this framework's applicability to other businesses, sectors, and economic situations, further study is needed. Future initiatives will describe preliminary research attempts to apply this paradigm to current businesses in a worldwide setting.

REFERENCES

"Economic Drivers of Corporate Responsibility Activities," Amalric, Franck, and Hauser, Jason, The Journal of Corporate Citizenship, Winter 2005, (20), 27-38.
Max Baucus, "The Case for an Environmental Technology Act," EPA Journal, Vol. 20 (3/4), 1994, 37-39. Principles of Environmental Management: The Greening of Business, by Roger A. Buchholz, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1998.
"Economic Growth, International Competitiveness and Environmental Protection: R&D and Innovation Strategies with WARM Model," Carraro, Carlo, and Galeotti, Marzio, Energy Economics, Vol. 19, (1), March 1997, 2-28.
"Cleaner Environment as Important as Growth," China Daily, March 18, 2005.
"Managing our environmental portfolio," Costanza, Robert, Daly, Herman, Folke, Carl, and Hawken, Paul Bioscience, Vol. 50 (2), 149-155, February 2000.
Daly, Herman, "Is It Possible for Economic Development to Address Environmental Issues? The World Bank Group, "A Debate with Herman Daly and Paul Portney," March 2, 2004.
Richard T. DeGeorge, Business Ethics, MacMillan, New York, 1986, 2nd ed.
Telis Demos, "Beyond the Bottom Line," Fortune International (Europe), Vol. 154 (9), 2006, 62-63.
Joseph DesJardin, "Corporate Environmental Responsibility," Journal of Business Ethics, Vol. 17, (8), 1998, 825-38.
Joseph DesJardin, Introduction to Business Ethics, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2006, 2nd ed. Milton Friedman, Capitalism and Freedom, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1962.
"Environmental Protection & the States: 'Race to the Bottom or Race to the Bottom Line'?" by Mary Graham, The Bookings Review, Vol. 16, (1), Winter 1998, 22–25.
David Grayson, "From Responsibility to Opportunity," Corporate Responsibility Management, Vol. 2 (2), Oct/Nov 2005, 34-37.

Crain's Detroit Business, Vol. 22, (20), May 15, 2006; Henderson, Tom, "Green" Tech Starts to Draw Venture Capital; Clean Sector Ranks Fifth Nationally in Activity."
Joel S. Hirschhorn, "Environment, Quality of Life, and Urban Growth in New Economy," Environmental Quality Management, Vol. 10 (3), 2001, 1–9.
Hopwood Bill, Mellor, Mary, and Geoff O'Brien. "Mapping various approaches to sustainable development," Sustainable Development, Vol. 13 (1), 2005, 38–52.
"The IMF and the Environment: A Factsheet," September 2005, IMF External Relations Department, "Uncovering Hidden Opportunities in Your Supply Chain," Corporate Responsibility Management, Vol. 2, (4), Feb/Mar 2006, 16-19, Jaber, David, Friend, Gil, and Olsen, Eric.
"Determinants of Environmental Behavior in Societies in Transition: Evidence from Five European Countries," Population and Environment, Vol. 25(6), 2004, 563-584, Korfiatis, Konstantinos J., Hovardas, Tasos, and Pantis, John D.
When Corporations Rule the World, David C. Korten, Kumarian Press, Inc., West Hartford, CT, 1996.
"Patterns and paradoxes," Lifset, Reid J. Journal of Industrial Ecology, Vol. 6 (1), 2002, 1-4.
Managing Creativity and Innovation, by Richard Luecke and R. Katz, Harvard Business School Press, Cambridge, MA, 2003.
Joel Makower, "The State of Green Business: Good News and Bad," January 2006, GreenBiz.com
The Free Press, New York, 1995; Rogers, Everett, Diffusion of Innovations, 4th ed.
Evan Schofer and Francisco J. Granados, "Environmentalism, Globalization and National Economies, 1980-2000," Social Forces, Vol. 85 (2), December 2006.
William H. Shaw, Business Ethics (6th ed.), Thompson Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, 2007.
"Environmental Responsibility in SMEs: Does it Deliver Competitive Advantage?" by Simpson, Mike, Taylor, Nick, and Barker, Karen Environment and Business Strategy, Vol. 13, (3), May 2004.
Stern, David, "The Environmental Kuznets Curve's Rise and Fall," World Development, Vol. 32 (8), 2004, 1419-1439.
David Suzuki, "The Challenge of the 21st Century: Setting the Real Bottom Line – Environmental Challenges in an Over-Populated, Over-Consuming World," Journal of Business Administration and Policy Analysis, Vol. 30-31, 2002, 47-66.
Joseph Weiss, Business Ethics, Thomson Southwestern, Mason, OH, 2006.
"Economic Growth and Environmental Protection: A Clarification about Neoclassical Economics," Wildlife Society Bulletin, Spring 2005, Whitehead, John, Lipton, Doug, Lupi, Frank, and Southwick, Rob.
Dr. Steven Tello teaches management and entrepreneurship at the University of Massachusetts Lowell as an assistant professor. The University awarded him an Ed. D. in Educational Leadership in 2002. His areas of interest in research include online education and evaluation, educational leadership, technological innovation and management, and technology entrepreneurship.
Dr. Eunsang Yoon teaches marketing at the University of Massachusetts Lowell. He graduated from Pennsylvania State University with a Ph.D. His areas of interest in research include information technology applications to marketing planning, sustainable management, international market entrance, and new product planning.